

BEFORE THE
LOUISIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

APPLICATION OF SOUTHWESTERN	:	
ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY FOR	:	
CERTIFICATION AND APPROVAL OF	:	
THE ACQUISITION OF CERTAIN	:	DOCKET NO. U-
RENEWABLE RESOURCES AND	:	
NATURAL GAS CAPACITY	:	
CONTRACTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH	:	
THE MBM ORDER, THE 1983 AND 1994	:	
GENERAL ORDERS	:	

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
KAMRAN ALI
FOR
SOUTHWESTERN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY

MAY 2022

TESTIMONY INDEX

<u>SECTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	2
III. ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK.....	3
IV. GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION PROCESS	5
V. FIRM TRANSMISSION (DELIVERABILITY) ANALYSIS OF RFP BIDS	6
VI. TRANSMISSION CONGESTION & LOSS SCREENING ANALYSIS	9
VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	12

GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS

AEP	American Electric Power Company, Inc.
AEPSC	American Electric Power Service Corporation
ARR	Auction Revenue Rights
ATSS	Aggregate Transmission Service Study
ELCC	Effective Load Carrying Capacity
GI	Generator Interconnection
ITP	Integrated Transmission Planning
LMP	Locational Marginal Price
LRE	Load Responsible Entity
RFP	Request for Proposal
SPP	Southwest Power Pool
SWEPCO	Southwestern Electric Power Company
TARA	Transmission Adequacy and Reliability Assessment

1 I. INTRODUCTION

2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, POSITION, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

3 A. My name is Kamran Ali. I am employed by American Electric Power Service
4 Corporation (AEPSC), one of several subsidiaries of American Electric Power
5 Company, Inc. (AEP), as VP of Transmission Planning and Analysis. AEP is also
6 the parent company of Southwestern Electric Power Company (SWEPCO or the
7 Company). AEPSC supplies engineering, financing, accounting, regulatory, and
8 similar planning and advisory services to AEP's regulated electric operating
9 companies, including SWEPCO. My business address is 8500 Smiths Mill Road,
10 New Albany, OH 43054.

11 Q. WHAT IS YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND?

12 A. I received a Bachelor of Science – Electrical Engineering degree from the University
13 of Alabama in Tuscaloosa, Alabama, and a Master of Science –Electrical
14 Engineering degree from Kansas State University in Manhattan, Kansas. I also
15 received a Master of Business Administration degree from Ohio University in
16 Athens, Ohio.

17 Q. WHAT IS YOUR PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND?

18 A. I started my career at SMC Electrical before joining AEPSC as an electrical
19 engineer. I joined AEPSC as a Substation Engineer in 2006. In 2007, I transferred to
20 Transmission Planning, where I advanced through increasing levels of responsibility.
21 In January 2021, I assumed the position of VP of Transmission Planning and
22 Analysis. I have been a registered Professional Engineer in the state of Ohio since
23 2009.

1 Q. WHAT ARE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES AS VP OF TRANSMISSION
2 PLANNING AND ANALYSIS?

3 A. My responsibilities include organizing and managing all activities related to
4 assessing the adequacy of AEP's and its operating companies' transmission network,
5 including within the Southwest Power Pool (SPP) Regional Transmission
6 Organization region, to meet customers' needs in a reliable, cost-effective, and
7 environmentally compatible manner.

8 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE IN PROCEEDINGS BEFORE
9 REGULATORY BODIES?

10 A. Yes, I have testified before the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, the Kentucky
11 Public Service Commission, the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission, the Public
12 Utility Commission of Texas, the Maryland Public Service Commission, and the
13 Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission. I have also submitted testimony before the
14 Michigan Public Service Commission, Louisiana Public Service Commission,
15 Arkansas Public Service Commission, and the Oklahoma Corporation Commission
16 on behalf of various electric operating companies of AEP.

17

18 II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

19 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

20 A. My testimony discusses the following analyses performed to assist in evaluating the
21 bids received by SWEPCO in response to its wind and solar Requests for Proposals
22 (RFPs): 1) the review of network upgrades identified by SPP in each RFP facility's
23 generation interconnection process, 2) the deliverability analysis performed to

1 determine the cost of transmission upgrades required to obtain firm transmission
2 service from each of the RFP facilities to the AEP West Zone (which includes
3 SWEPCO and its sister company, Public Service Company of Oklahoma), and 3) the
4 production cost analysis evaluating the transmission congestion and loss risk for
5 each of the RFP facilities. More specifically, my testimony describes:

- 6 • the analytical framework employed for evaluating the RFP bids;
- 7 • the Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS) performed to assess the
- 8 transmission upgrades needed to acquire firm transmission service for the RFP
- 9 bid facilities, referred to as the Deliverability Analysis in the RFPs; and
- 10 • the transmission congestion and loss analysis, referred to as Transmission
- 11 Screening Analysis in the RFPs.

12 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR TESTIMONY.

13 A. My testimony addresses the transmission analysis that was performed for each of the
14 RFP bid facilities, which included a firm transmission/deliverability analysis and a
15 congestion/losses analysis. The firm transmission/deliverability analysis estimated
16 the cost of network upgrades required for the RFP facilities to obtain firm
17 transmission rights. The congestion/losses analysis evaluated the potential congestion
18 and loss costs for the RFP bid facilities. Each of these analyses used updated SPP
19 datasets and the costs for firm transmission service and congestion/losses costs for
20 each of the RFP bid facilities were provided to Company witness James. F. Martin for
21 use in the economic analysis of each of those facilities.

22

23 III. ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK

24 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK EMPLOYED FOR
25 EVALUATING THE RFP BIDS.

1 A. SWEPCO's analysis consisted of the following steps:

2 • Generation Interconnection (GI) Process

- 3 ○ Each of the wind and solar RFPs required that RFP bidders have
4 completed the GI process with SPP, which determines the facilities
5 necessary to interconnect the generation facility to the SPP
6 transmission grid.
- 7 ○ The GI process determines the need for two types of facilities to
8 interconnect a generation facility – Interconnection Facilities and
9 Network Upgrade Facilities.
- 10 ■ Interconnection Facilities include all facilities between the
11 Generating Facility and the Point of Interconnection that are
12 necessary to interconnect the Generating Facility to the SPP
13 Transmission System, often referred to as generation ties or
14 “gen-ties.”
- 15 ■ Network Upgrade Facilities refer to upgrades required to the
16 Transmission System beyond the point at which the
17 Interconnection Facilities connect to the SPP Transmission
18 System.
- 19 ○ The cost of GI upgrades are assigned to the generator and were
20 included in the Company's bid evaluation analysis.
- 21 ○ The GI studies for each RFP bid facility were performed by SPP and
22 SWEPCO reviewed those studies to identify the GI network upgrades
23 required to reliably interconnect the facility.

24 • Firm Transmission/Deliverability Analysis

- 25 ○ SWEPCO is obtaining the Selected Facilities to help meet its capacity
26 requirements in SPP.
- 27 ○ The firm transmission/deliverability analysis performed by the
28 Company evaluated the transmission network upgrades required to
29 deliver capacity from the RFP facilities to the AEP West Zone in
30 accordance with SPP's procedures and processes.
- 31 ○ The Company used the most recent ATSS model developed by SPP.
- 32 ○ The cost of transmission network upgrades required to deliver capacity
33 from each RFP facility to the AEP West Zone was added to the RFP
34 bid amount as part of the Company's analysis of the RFP bids.

1 • Congestion and Loss Analysis

- 2 ○ The Company used PROMOD models for 2026 and 2031 based on
3 datasets developed by SPP to analyze the congestion and loss costs
4 associated with transmission between each RFP facility location and
5 the AEP West Zone.
- 6 ○ The Company used these congestion and loss forecasts to develop
7 anticipated congestion and loss costs over the 2024-2053 time period.
- 8 ○ This information was provided to Company witness Martin for use in
9 preparing the economic analysis of each of the RFP bid resources.

10 • Models and Datasets Used in the Analysis

- 11 ○ The Company used SPP-developed network models as the
12 foundational models to perform its assessments. SPP develops these
13 models on a cyclical basis in consultation with stakeholders using the
14 best available information to represent the topology of the electric
15 grid.
- 16 ○ The Company added the RFP bids and associated GI network upgrades
17 if they were not already included in the foundational model datasets
18 utilized for both the firm transmission/deliverability analysis and the
19 congestion & loss analysis.
- 20 ○ The transmission upgrades required for firm transmission were then
21 included in the Company's congestion and loss analysis to evaluate
22 congestion and loss costs.

23

24 IV. GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION PROCESS

25 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE SPP'S GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION PROCESS.

26 A. A generator resource seeking to interconnect to SPP's transmission network must go
27 through SPP's GI study process. The GI study process evaluates the impact of the
28 proposed generator on the reliability of the transmission system and identifies
29 Interconnection Facilities and Transmission Network Upgrades needed to ensure
30 reliable operation of the transmission system. The scope, cost and timelines
31 associated with the upgrades are identified and shared with stakeholders as part of the

1 study process. All GI upgrade costs are directly assigned to the generator resource
2 and were included in each of the RFP bids.

3 Q. DOES THE GI STUDY PROCESS ENSURE DELIVERABILITY OF ENERGY
4 FROM A GENERATOR TO A CUSTOMER'S LOCATION?

5 A. No. That is why SWEPCO conducted the firm transmission/deliverability analysis
6 and the congestion and loss analysis described below.

7

8 V. FIRM TRANSMISSION (DELIVERABILITY) ANALYSIS OF RFP BIDS

9 Q. WAS FIRM TRANSMISSION TO FACILITATE CAPACITY DELIVERABILITY
10 FROM THE RFP BID FACILITIES IMPORTANT TO SWEPCO?

11 A. Yes. Obtaining capacity credit for the RFP bid facilities was a primary goal of the
12 Company's RFPs.

13 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE TRANSMISSION ANALYSES PERFORMED BY SPP
14 TO FACILITATE CAPACITY DELIVERABILITY FOR RESOURCE
15 ADEQUACY WITHIN SPP.

16 A. SPP requires a Load Responsible Entity (LRE), such as SWEPCO, to maintain
17 capacity to satisfy its resource adequacy obligations, which include load and planning
18 reserve margins. LREs must obtain firm transmission service to ensure reliable
19 deliverability of capacity from a generator resource for the load portion of its resource
20 adequacy requirement. LREs are required to go through SPP's ATSS process to
21 obtain firm transmission service. Resources without firm transmission can be used to
22 satisfy the reserve margin requirement, but only resources with firm transmission can
23 be used to satisfy the baseline load resource adequacy requirement.

1 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE SPP'S CAPACITY ACCREDITATION PROCESS FOR
2 WIND AND SOLAR FACILITIES.

3 A. Effective June 1, 2023, the accredited capacity of wind and solar facilities will be
4 established using Effective Load Carrying Capability (ELCC) methodology. SPP's
5 ELCC analyses demonstrate that while the total capacity available from wind
6 resources increases, the accredited capacity value of each individual resource will
7 decrease. For that reason, SPP studies wind and solar resources in three tiers and
8 resources with firm transmission service can be applied to Tier 1 and Tier 2. Tier 3
9 consists of solar and wind resources that do not have firm transmission service. Tier 1
10 has a priority in the study queue with the ELCC capacity value determined first and
11 receives the highest capacity accreditation with the accreditation value progressively
12 decreasing for Tier 2 and 3. As a result, it is important for the Selected Facilities to
13 obtain firm transmission in order to receive the maximum achievable capacity
14 accreditation.

15 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF THE FIRM
16 TRANSMISSION/DELIVERABILITY ANALYSIS PERFORMED BY THE
17 COMPANY.

18 A. The Company performed a deliverability assessment to determine the capacity that
19 can be delivered from the RFP bids to the AEP West Zone to meet its resource
20 adequacy obligations. SPP's ATSS process determines capacity deliverability by
21 evaluating transfers from generator resources to load within the SPP Region. The
22 study is designed to aggregate all the requests for service received through SPP's
23 annual open season windows. SPP analyzes transmission system needs and

1 determines transmission upgrades needed to facilitate these requested transfers while
2 maintaining transmission system reliability.

3 Pursuant to Section 9.2.1.1 in the RFPs,¹ after the eligibility and threshold
4 review (RFP section 9.1), the Company performed deliverability analysis for all of
5 the RFP bids based on the ATSS process used by SPP for evaluating requests for firm
6 transmission service.

7 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE MODEL DATASETS SWEPCO USED AND THE
8 DELIVERABILITY ANALYSIS IT PERFORMED TO ASSESS THE RFP BIDS.

9 A. SWEPCO used the model datasets and supporting files developed by SPP for the
10 2020-Aggregate Study 2 ATSS assessments to identify transmission upgrades
11 required to facilitate transfers from the RFP bids to the AEP West Zone. Specifically,
12 SWEPCO used datasets for summer, winter, and light load seasons for two years –
13 2026 and 2031. The RFP bids and associated GI network upgrades were added and
14 dispatched in the models in accordance with the ATSS process established by SPP.
15 Additionally, the models were updated to incorporate the Company's unit retirements
16 discussed in the testimony of Company witness A. Malcolm Smoak, which were not
17 announced until after SPP's model build process was completed. The PowerGem
18 Transmission Adequacy and Reliability Assessment (TARA) tool was used to
19 perform this study. The Company evaluated the transmission needs based on SPP's
20 criteria and methodology and identified transmission network upgrades necessary to
21 obtain firm transmission rights for the RFP bid facilities. Identified network upgrades
22 and associated costs were provided to Company witness Martin for further evaluation

¹ See Exhibits AEJ-1 and AEJ-2 to the testimony of Company witness Amy E. Jeffries.

1 and inclusion in the economic analysis of the RFP bid facilities. Additionally, the
2 identified upgrades were included in the congestion & loss analysis discussed below.

3
4 VI. TRANSMISSION CONGESTION & LOSS SCREENING ANALYSIS

5 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF THE MARKET SIMULATIONS
6 PERFORMED BY THE COMPANY TO ANALYZE CONGESTION AND LOSS
7 COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE RFP BIDS.

8 A. Pursuant to Section 9.2.1.1 in the RFPs, the Company performed a Transmission
9 Screening Analysis to evaluate the cost of congestion and losses associated with
10 delivery of power from the RFP facilities to the AEP West Zone. The Company used
11 PROMOD, an integrated electric generation and transmission market simulation
12 software tool primarily employed for forward-looking locational market price
13 simulations. PROMOD is also used by SPP to perform an hourly chronological
14 security constrained unit commitment and economic dispatch of the entire SPP
15 footprint and neighboring regional markets subject to transmission constraints for the
16 assumed market conditions. PROMOD market simulations produce the locational
17 marginal price (LMP) at various pricing nodes on the SPP system. PROMOD also
18 reports the hourly marginal congestion cost and marginal loss charge components of
19 the LMP for each pricing node. This analysis allowed the Company to evaluate
20 congestion and loss costs associated with delivery of power from the RFP bid
21 locations to the AEP West Zone.

22 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROMOD DATASET USED BY THE COMPANY TO
23 PERFORM ITS CONGESTION AND LOSS ANALYSIS.

1 A. The PROMOD datasets used for this analysis were developed by SPP and its
2 stakeholders for SPP's 2021 Integrated Transmission Planning (ITP) Assessment that
3 was completed in December 2021. Specifically, the Company utilized the PROMOD
4 datasets reflecting expected future conditions in 2026 and 2031. These models
5 incorporate the most up-to-date topology of the grid as well as planned and/or needed
6 future resources, including wind and solar resources at levels and locations that were
7 vetted and approved by SPP and its stakeholders.

8 Q. DID THE COMPANY UPDATE THE SPP-DEVELOPED PROMOD DATASET
9 FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE RFP BID EVALUATION?

10 A. Yes. As stated above, the Company used SPP's PROMOD dataset for 2026 and 2031
11 as a starting point. The Company added the RFP bid facilities and associated GI
12 network upgrades if those facilities were not already included in SPP's PROMOD
13 dataset. The Company also incorporated the network upgrades identified in the ATSS
14 deliverability assessments to the PROMOD modeling dataset. Additionally, the
15 models were updated to reflect the Company's unit retirements and transmission
16 topology changes that were not known when assumptions were being finalized by
17 SPP for its 2021 ITP Assessment. This produced datasets that reflected the expected
18 transmission topology for the RFP facilities.

19 Q. WHAT ANALYSIS DID THE COMPANY PERFORM ON THESE UPDATED
20 PROMOD DATASETS?

21 A. The Company performed further analysis on the updated PROMOD datasets to
22 determine new transmission constraints caused by adding the new solar and wind
23 RFP bids to the datasets. These new transmission constraints were added to the list of

1 flowgates identified by SPP for its 2021 ITP Assessment. The Company performed
2 market simulations utilizing updated model datasets and lists of constraints to report
3 the hourly marginal congestion cost and marginal loss charge components of the LMP
4 for each RFP bid injection pricing node and the AEP West Zone for 2026 and 2031.

5 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF THE METHODOLOGY USED TO
6 PERFORM THE CONGESTION & LOSS ANALYSES FOR THE BID
7 EVALUATION ANALYSIS.

8 A. The hourly marginal congestion and marginal loss components of the LMP for the
9 RFP bid injection pricing node and AEP West Zone were used to calculate annual
10 generation-weighted congestion and loss costs² between the individual bid locations
11 and AEP West Zone for 2026 and 2031. The generation-weighted congestion and loss
12 costs for years 2027-2030 were estimated by linearly interpolating between the
13 previously calculated 2026 and 2031 generation-weighted congestion and loss costs.
14 For years 2024 and 2025, the generation-weighted congestion and loss costs were
15 estimated by linearly extrapolating backward the 2026 generation-weighted
16 congestion and loss costs calculated based on PROMOD results. Gen-weighted
17 congestion and loss costs were kept constant for 2032 through 2053 – which assumes
18 that as congestion costs increase, SPP’s planning process will identify transmission
19 solutions to address transmission congestion and prevent congestion costs from
20 increasing further. The congestion and loss costs associated with each RFP bid were
21 compiled for 2024 – 2053 and provided to Company witness Martin for use in the

² For loss costs, one-half of the difference in marginal loss components at the AEP West Zone and at RFP bids injection pricing nodes is used. This is because average loss costs are half of the marginal loss costs, and approximately one-half of marginal loss charges collected by SPP are refunded back to the loads.

1 economic analysis of each of the RFP bid resources. As described earlier in this
2 testimony, the Company will need to obtain firm transmission service to ensure
3 reliable deliverability of capacity from a generator resource to Company Load. LREs
4 with firm transmission service are entitled to Auction Revenue Rights (ARRs) and the
5 Company determined the value stream of these ARRs based on historic performance.
6

7 VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

8 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR TESTIMONY.

9 A. My testimony addresses the transmission analysis that was performed for each of the
10 RFP bid facilities, which included a firm transmission/deliverability analysis and a
11 congestion/losses analysis. The firm transmission/deliverability analysis used
12 SPP-developed ATSS datasets, updated as necessary to include SPP-determined
13 Generation Interconnection facilities for the RFP bid facilities as well as SWEPCO
14 generation unit retirements, to estimate the cost of network upgrades required for the
15 RFP facilities to obtain firm transmission rights. The congestion/losses analysis used
16 SPP-developed PROMOD datasets, updated as necessary to include the RFP bid
17 facilities and related Generation Interconnection facilities, the Company's unit
18 retirements, the network upgrades identified in the Company's firm
19 transmission/deliverability analysis, and other known grid topology changes to
20 evaluate the potential congestion and loss costs for the RFP bid facilities. The grid
21 upgrade costs for firm transmission service and the congestion/loss costs for each of
22 the RFP bid facilities were provided to Company witness Martin for use in the
23 economic analysis for each of those facilities.

- 1 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- 2 A. Yes, it does.