RECEIVED

BEFORE THE

DEC 0 9 2021

LOUISIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

LA Public Service Commission

EXPARTE: APPLICATION OF)	
ENTERGY LOUISIANA, LLC,	Ś	·
FOR REVIEW OF THE MANAGEMENT	Ś	DOCKET NO. U-
OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF LAKE	í	
CHARLES POWER STATION	`	

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JEROME M. MADDOX

ON BEHALF OF

ENTERGY LOUISIANA, LLC

DECEMBER 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TRIBLE OF CONTENTS	
		Page
I.	INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS	1
IJ,	PROJECT COSTS	
	A. Amount of Project Costs	3
	B. Accounting for Project Costs	5
	1. Project Codes and Scope Statements	5
	2. Accounting Approvals	10
щ.	PROJECT GOVERNANCE	13
IV.	CONCLUSION	14
	EXHIBIT LIST	
Exhil	ibit JMM-1 Breakdown of Project Costs (on CD) (HSPM)	
Exhit	bit JMM-2 Electronic Index of Project Transactions (on CD) (HSPM)	

1		I. <u>INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS</u>
2	Q1.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, OCCUPATION, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
3	A.	My name is Jerome M. Maddox. I am currently employed as Manager, Project Controls
4		at Entergy Services, LLC ("ESL"). Prior to October 2016, I was employed as a
5		Manager, Project Controls at Jacobs Engineering. My business address is 639 Loyola
6		Avenue, New Orleans, Louisiana 70113.
7		
8	Q2.	WHAT ARE YOUR PRINCIPAL RESPONSIBILITIES AS MANAGER, PROJECT
9		CONTROLS?
10	A.	My duties in this role, include oversight of capital project cost and forecasts, internal
11		capital project financial reporting, establishment and maintenance of internal controls
12		in accordance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, and financial planning, including
13		preparing capital and expense budgets for a number of construction projects, including
14		Entergy Louisiana, LLC's ("ELL" or the "Company") project to construct a combined-
15		cycle gas turbine facility in Westlake, Louisiana, known as the Lake Charles Power
16		Station ("LCPS" or the "Project").
17		
18	Q3.	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING IN THIS PROCEEDING?
19	A.	I am submitting this Direct Testimony to the Louisiana Public Service Commission
20		("Commission") on behalf of the Company.

1	Q4.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND, PROFESSIONAL
2		QUALIFICATIONS, AND EXPERIENCE.
3	A.	I hold an undergraduate degree in Construction Management from the University of
4		Louisiana at Monroe and a master's degree in Construction Management from
5		Louisiana State University. I have over 15 years of experience in developing and
6		executing capital projects, including experience with engineering, procurement, and
7		construction ("EPC") contractors and Owner/Operator companies. I have held various
8		project controls and commercial roles on projects ranging in size from less than \$1
9		million to over \$2 billion in total capital.
10		
11	Q5.	HAVE YOU EVER TESTIFIED BEFORE THE COMMISSION?
12	A.	No.
13		
14	Q6.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE PURPOSE OF THE COMPANY'S FILING.
15	A.	I understand that the Commission's Order No. U-34283 relating to the Company's
16		decision to undertake construction of LCPS required the Company to submit
17		information to allow the Commission to conduct a prudence review after project
18		completion. The information required for the Commission to conduct a prudence
19		review is contained in the Company's instant filing.

1	Q7.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
2	A. .	My testimony addresses:
3		• The costs incurred by the Company in connection with construction of LCPS;
4		The Company's accounting procedures for costs incurred on construction of LCPS
5	•	and
6		• A third-party evaluation of the LCPS's project governance and controls.
7		;
8		II. <u>LCPS COSTS</u>
9	· .	A. Amount of LCPS Costs
10	Q8.	WHAT IS THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF PROJECT COSTS THAT ARE BEING
11		SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW IN THIS DOCKET?
12	A.	ELL has incurred \$808.7 million in LCPS-related costs, exclusive of transmission and
13		interconnection costs, through October 31, 2021. ELL estimates that it will incur
14		additional costs of \$1.5 million related to work not completed as of October 31, 2021.
15		Thus, the Project estimated costs at completion, exclusive of transmission and
16		interconnection costs, total \$810.2 million. ELL previously completed Project-related
17		transmission upgrades and interconnection work at a cost of \$50.9 million.
18		ELL has incurred \$859.6 million of total LCPS costs through October 31, 2021.
19		ELL estimates that it will incur additional costs of \$1.5 million related to work not
20		completed as of October 31, 2021. Thus, total estimated Project costs at completion
21		total \$861.1 million ("Total Project Costs"). A breakdown of the Total Project Costs
22		is provided on Highly Sensitive Protected Material ("HSPM") Exhibit IMM-1

1	Q9.	WHY HAS THE COMPANY INCLUDED ESTIMATED COSTS IN ITS TOTAL
2		COST AMOUNTS?
3	Α.	Although LCPS was placed in service in March 2020, work continued beyond March
4		2020 to close out the Project and progress toward Final Acceptance, as defined by the
5		EPC contract. As discussed by Company witness Gary C. Dickens, some additional
6		work and testing remains to be completed before Final Acceptance can occur. The
7		Company has included estimated costs for work that is ongoing, all of which is
8		expected to be completed in the Spring of 2022.
9		
10	Q10.	MR. DICKENS DESCRIBES INCENTIVES EARNED BY THE EPC
11		CONTRACTOR. DO THE VALUES IN HSPM EXHIBIT JMM-1 INCLUDE
12	-	INCENTIVES PAID TO THE EPC CONTRACTOR?
13	A.	Yes.
14		
15	Q11.	WHAT CATEGORIES OF COSTS ARE INCLUDED IN THE TOTAL COST
16		AMOUNTS?
17	A.	The Project costs are broken down into the following cost categories: (1) EPC Costs,
18		(2) Sales Tax, (3) Other Costs, (4) Owner Contingency, (5) Allowance for Funds Used
19		During Construction ("AFUDC"), and (6) Transmission Upgrade Projects. In addition,
20		the costs shown on HSPM Exhibit JMM-1 are segregated between those costs incurred
21		as of October 31, 2021, and the costs that are estimated to be incurred after that date.

1	Q12.	WHAT IS INCLUDED WITHIN THE SIX MAJOR COST CATEGORIES?
2	A.	Mr. Dickens discusses what is included in each of the categories in his Direct
3		Testimony.
4		
5	Q13.	HOW WILL THE COMPANY ACCOUNT FOR ANY PROJECT COSTS THAT
6		ARE ESTIMATED OR NOT YET ACCRUED?
7	A.	Any such amounts will be properly recorded on the Company's books and records as
8		capital when they are incurred. Company witness Ryan D. Jones discusses how the
9		Company will capture the Project costs, including costs that are estimated or not yet
10		accrued, in ELL's retail rates.
11		
12		B. Accounting for Project Costs
13		1. Project Codes and Scope Statements
14	Q14.	HOW DID THE COMPANY COMPILE AND RECORD THE PROJECT COSTS
15		REFLECTED IN HSPM EXHIBIT JMM-1?
16	A.	Consistent with the Company's accounting practices, the Project-related project costs
17		were compiled and recorded on the Company's books and records through the use of
18		specific project codes.

1 Q15. WHAT ARE PROJECT CODES?

- 2 A. A project code is an alphanumeric code used to capture related costs for a particular
- 3 task or service. Project codes are used by each Entergy Operating Company ("EOC")1
- 4 to track costs. In addition, project codes are used by ESL to track costs to be billed
- 5 directly or allocated to affiliated companies.

6

7 Q16. HOW IS A PROJECT CODE ESTABLISHED?

- 8 A. Project codes are established in the Company's accounting systems when management
- 9 determines there is a need to be able to identify and aggregate costs for a specific
- project, which may include an aggregation of expenses for a particular purpose or for
- the creation of a new capital asset. Setting up a project code requires a substantial
- amount of information. Additionally, the completion of the project code setup process
- requires multiple approvals, including those from Accounting and Management, to
- ensure that the project code attributes are appropriate and are not over-spent.

15

16 Q17. WHAT INFORMATION IS REQUIRED IN ORDER FOR A NEW PROJECT CODE

17 TO BE ESTABLISHED?

- 18 A. The answer depends on the circumstances under which the project code is established.
- When a project code that will be used by ESL employees is established, a "scope
- statement" is developed for that project code. The scope statement sets out, in narrative
- form, a description of the project and the type of work that will be performed under

The five EOCs are Entergy Arkansas, LLC; ELL; Entergy Mississippi, LLC; Entergy New Orleans, LLC; and Entergy Texas, Inc.

that project code. The project code scope statement typically describes the overall purpose, the primary activities to be performed, the products or deliverables expected, and a justification of the billing method selected.

When project codes that will be used by non-ESL employees are established, a project description is required. The project description may not be as detailed as a scope statement because, in a project that will be used by non-ESL employees, the work typically is performed for a single EOC and, as such, all of the work will be recorded on the books solely of that EOC, rather than being billed to another EOC or allocated among multiple EOCs.

Other key information required to establish a project code includes the physical location of the project and the department responsible for the project for which the costs will be incurred. For a capital project, information regarding the following is also required: removal, salvage, additions, project manager, and estimated in-service date.

- Q18. WHAT ARE THE PROJECT CODES THAT CAPTURE COSTS RELATED TO THE PROJECT?
- 17 A. The following project codes for the Project-related costs were established:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

A.

Table 1 Project Code Listing			
Project Code Number Project Code Description			
C6PPTLZ060	A&B Generator 138kV Tie-Lines LCPS		
C6PPTSZ069	Breaker Upgrade 69kV Alfol Station		
C6PPTSZ074	Breaker Upgrade 138kV Conoco Stn		
C6PPTLZ030	C Generator 230kV Tie-Lines LCPS		
C6DB874601	INT. LC. 461TRDIST. LOWER DOWN 4.5		
C6PPTSZ055	Network Intercon at 230kV Nelson St		
C6PPTLZ004	Nelson-Spanish Trail Rebld 138kV		
C6DB874599	INT., L.C., 432 WE., DIST. LINE PAR		
C6PPTSZ070	Breaker Upgrades 230kV Nelson Sub		
C6PPTSZ050	Breaker Upgrade at 138kV Nelson Sub		
C6PPTSZ000	Mossville 138kV Sub Work		
C6DB875151	JOINTU - 462TR Convert 2 sections o		
C6PPTLZ033	Mossville to Nelson Rebld 138kV		
C6DB875152	JOINTU - 462TR 13.2/7.6 Pull in 3 s		
C6DB875153	JOINTU - 462TR 13.2/7.6 Bore in 3 s		
C6PPTSZ035	NETWORK INTERCON-138KV NELSON STN		
C6PPTLZ000	Spanish Trail-Mossville Rebld 138kV		

2 Q19. HOW DID PERSONNEL KNOW TO WHICH PROJECT CODE THEY SHOULD

BILL THEIR TIME AND EXPENSES RELATED TO THE PROJECT?

Each employee is responsible for charging the costs that he or she incurs to the appropriate project code, and thus billing the affiliate(s) receiving the services appropriately. An employee's manager or budget coordinator may assist in determining and communicating the correct project code for a specific cost. While each employee is ultimately responsible for charging costs to the appropriate project code, prior to the recording of a source transaction on an affiliate's books (e.g., time reports and expense reports), the appropriate personnel must review and approve source documentation in accordance with the requirements of Entergy's approval policies. In addition, Entergy's source systems are programmed with certain validation

functionality (e.g., validity and compatibility edits for the accounting code input data) and notification procedures to alert the employee when accounting code values, including project codes, are invalid, incompatible, or incomplete.

Further, employees are typically instructed how to correctly record time and expenses during on-the-job training within their individual workgroups. Additionally, during budget training as part of the annual budget process, budget coordinators/preparers are educated on the proper way to record transactions. As a further control for ESL employees, ESL Time and Expense Training materials are posted on the Affiliate Accounting and Allocations section of Entergy Corporation's ("Entergy") internal website. All ESL employees are required to acknowledge their review of these training materials on an annual basis. This training stresses the importance of choosing the correct project code and reviews and, among other things, how to determine which project code should be used for specific services.

8 -

- 15 Q20. WERE ANY OF THE PROJECT-RELATED CODES USED TO RECORD COSTS
 16 RELATED TO ANY NON-PROJECT COSTS?
- A. As a result of the Company's internal control processes, there should be no non-Projectrelated costs included in HSPM Exhibit JMM-1.

I		2. Accounting Approvals
2	Q21.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE INTERNAL CONTROLS PROCESS USED BY THE
3		COMPANY TO ENSURE PROPER ACCOUNTING FOR PROJECT COSTS.
4	A.	The Company maintains a strong system of internal controls, including the approvals
5		for costs incurred before payments are made to suppliers or when employees record
6		their time and expenses. The system of internal controls is in effect for all Entergy
7		affiliates, including ELL and ESL.
8		
9	Q22.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROCEDURES USED BY THE COMPANY TO
10		ENSURE THAT COSTS BILLED BY CONTRACTORS WERE ACCURATE AND
11		WERE RECORDED PROPERLY IN THE COMPANY'S FINANCIAL RECORDS.
12	A.	The budget process serves as a control to ensure that costs billed by contractors are
13		accurate and are recorded properly in the Company's financial records. Specifically,
14		budget coordinators are directed during budget training to review the project codes used
15		by their departments to ensure that they are appropriate for the services being provided,
16		including the billing method(s) assigned to the project code(s). In addition, the
17		management of each department reviews actual charges on a monthly basis and
18		compares them to budget. This is accomplished through review of the department's
19		cost reports, which provide actual versus budget comparisons in several ways; for
20		example, by project, activity, and resource code.
21		The process for recording actual invoices begins as invoices are received from
22		vendors. Such invoices are routed to the Cost Control Analysts on the Project Team
23		who enter the invoice into the Company's Asset Suite application ("Asset Suite")

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

unless vendors have directly entered invoices. In either case, the first level of review for accuracy and compliance with commercial terms is performed by a project assigned analyst. This application maintains details of approved contracts, approved vendors, spending against such contracts, approval authority limits, related code block, etc. After the Cost Control Analysts enter invoices, they are routed to the Project Managers who ensure that: Invoices are processed to take advantage of early payment discounts, if any; Adequate funding under the applicable contract is available; Appropriate project milestones under the contract have been achieved; Applicable materials have been received and have passed quality inspections; and Tax information on the invoice is appropriately reflected. Should any discrepancies arise, the invoices are held until the discrepancy is cleared with the vendor or through an internal Contract Change Request, as appropriate. Once all is in order, the Project Managers route the invoice through the appropriate members of management for approval in Asset Suite. Required management levels are determined in accordance with the Company's Approval Authority Policy. After the appropriate level managers review and approve the invoice in Asset Suite, the invoice is routed to Accounts Payable where it is processed and paid.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1 Q23. IS THE COMPANY MAKING AVAILABLE DATA FOR THE COMMISSION TO

2 CONDUCT A REVIEW OF PROJECT-RELATED COSTS IF IT SO CHOOSES?

Yes. The Company will make available all documentation supporting the costs of the A. Project. Because of the huge volume of this information and, in some cases, the commercially sensitive nature, the Company is not attaching and filing this information as a workpaper. I am, however, attaching as HSPM Exhibit JMM-2 a detailed electronic index in Microsoft Excel® format of the transactions relating to the Project.2 This index does not include information regarding the estimated portion of the Company's costs because the transactions underlying those estimated costs had not yet occurred as of October 31, 2021. With this electronic index, a party can sort the data and determine which transactions to audit or review further. The data production process that I am suggesting here should allow the Commission and intervening parties to undertake their own review if they wish. This could work much like a financial audit in which the parties determine a statistically relevant but discrete subset of transactions they wish to review and test for accuracy out of the entire set of transactions. From this index, the parties can submit their selected transactions list to the Company. The Company asks that the parties submit a single joint list for testing. Based on this list, the Company would then pull all documents underlying those selected transactions and make them available to the parties. It is my understanding that this process has been

It is important to note that HSPM Exhibit JMM-2 only includes costs which were charged to the various project codes associated with the LCPS Project. As such, this exhibit does not include transactions totaling \$7,636.33 which were inadvertently charged to JWLPS project codes. Pursuant to the Stipulated Settlement approved by the Commission in LPSC Order No. U-35584 (8/10/2021), these costs are subject to review in conjunction with the Company's application.

1		used without objection in other LPSC proceedings, most recently the prudence reviews
2		of Ninemile 6 in Docket No. U-33633 and J. Wayne Leonard Power Station in Docket
3		No. U-35584.
4		
5		III. <u>PROJECT GOVERNANCE</u>
6	Q24.	DID THE COMPANY ESTABLISH A PROJECT GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE
7		TO ENSURE THAT THE PROJECT WAS WELL MANAGED?
8	A.	Yes. As discussed by Mr. Dickens, the Project was subject to a robust governance
9		structure from its inception. Additionally, the Company established an Executive
10		Steering Committee ("ESC") to provide more direct executive oversight of the project.
11		
12	Q25.	DID THE COMPANY SEEK AN OUTSIDE EVALUATION OF PROJECT
13		GOVERNANCE AND COST CONTROLS?
14	A.	Yes. The Company retained KPMG, LLP ("KPMG") to provide a third-party
15		perspective on project governance and cost controls relative to industry leading
16		practices. Specifically, KPMG was asked to conduct an assessment of the project
17		management procedures and controls being implemented to manage the Project
18		construction and related projects pursuant to the EPC contract, including procedures
19		and related tools being used to manage the Project's schedule, cost, scope change, risk,
20		issues, and document control objectives. ³

Given the closely related nature of the projects, KPMG's report also considered J. Wayne Leonard Power Station (formerly St. Charles Power Station) Project and the Montgomery County Power Station Project.

l	Q26.	WHAT CONCLUSIONS DID KPMG MAKE FOLLOWING ITS EVALUATION?
2	A.	KPMG concluded that the Company had established industry leading or near industry
3		leading practices for good project management, including the areas of organizational
4		structure, schedule, cost, scope change, risk, issues, and document control. The
5		assessment is attached to the testimony of Mr. Dickens as HSPM Exhibit GCD-4.
6		
7	Q27.	DID THE COMPANY CONDUCT ANY INTERNAL REVIEWS REGARDING
8		PAYMENT OF INVOICES AND ISSUANCE OF CHANGE ORDERS?
9	A.	Yes. As I discussed earlier and as discussed in the Direct Testimony of Mr. Dickens,
10		the Company's invoice review procedures ensure that invoice payments are limited to
11		those required by contract - no more, no less. Regarding change orders, Mr. Dickens
12		discusses the process followed to evaluate change orders, with ESC approval being
13		required for all change orders.
14		
15		IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>
16	Q28.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
17	A.	Yes, at this time.

AFFIDAVIT

RECEIVED
DEC 0 9 2021

STATE OF TEXAS

LA Public Service Commission

COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY

NOW BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, personally came and appeared, Jerome Maddox, who after being duly sworn by me, did depose and say:

That the above and foregoing is his sworn testimony in this proceeding and that he knows the contents thereof, that the same are true as stated, except as to matters and things, if any, stated on information and belief, and that as to those matters and things, he verily believes them to be true.

NOTARY PUBLIC

My commission expires: February 01, 2025



BEFORE THE

RECEIVED

LOUISIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DEC 0 9 2021

EX PARTE: APPLICATION OF		LA Public Service Commission
ENTERGY LOUISIANA, LLC,)	
FOR REVIEW OF THE MANAGEMENT OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF LAKE	į	DOCKET NO. U
CHARLES POWER STATION)	

EXHIBIT JMM-1 BREAKDOWN OF LCPS PROJECT COST

HIGHLY SENSITIVE PROTECTED MATERIAL

INTENTIONALLY OMITTED

DECEMBER 2021

BEFORE THE

LOUISIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)	
Ś	
í	DOCKET NO. U-
j -	
í	
)

EXHIBIT JMM-2

E-INDEX OF LCPS PROJECT TRANSACTION

HIGHLY SENSITIVE PROTECTED MATERIAL

INTENTIONALLY OMITTED

DECEMBER 2021